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Abstract

Introduction

Malawi implemented a Health Management Information System (HMIS) in 1999 which aimed at improving health data management. However, there still exists a deficiency of accurate, reliable, complete, consistent and timely health data to inform effective planning and resource management, hence this assessment.

Methods

A cross sectional survey was conducted where qualitative and quantitative data were collected through in-depth interviews, documentation review and focus group discussions. Study participants comprised of 10 HMIS Officers and 10 District Health Managers, from 10 districts in the Southern region of Malawi. The study was conducted from March to April 2012. Quantitative data was analysed using Microsoft excel while qualitative data was summarized and analysed using thematic analysis.

Results

The study established that one out of ten HMIS Officers was qualified for the post using Ministry of Health HMIS minimum requirements. The HMIS Officers stated that data collectors for HMIS from the district hospital, health facilities and the community included medical assistants, nurses/midwives, statistical clerks and health surveillance assistants. Challenges with the system included inadequate resources, knowledge gaps, inadequacy of staff and lack of training and refresher courses which lead to information provided not being reliable for decision making. The HMIS Officers further commented that missing values arose from incomplete registers and data gaps. Furthermore, improper comprehension of some terms by health surveillance assistants (HSAs) and statistical clerks led to incorrectly recorded data.

Conclusion

The study suggests that collection of data by a wide range of health workers and use of different tools lead to inaccuracy of the data being reported. Nevertheless, HIMS was useful for development of District Implementation Plans (DIPs) and planning for other projects. There is need for the review of HMIS indicators and harmonization of data collection tools feeding into the HMIS to reduce data inconsistencies.

Introduction

Health Management Information System (HMIS) is a process whereby health data (input) are recorded, stored, retrieved and processed for decision-making (output). Decision making broadly includes managerial aspects such as planning, organizing and control of health care facilities at national and institution levels and clinical aspects which aim at providing optimal patient care. Reports that are accurate, reliable, complete, consistent, relevant and up-to-date (data quality) are required by District Health Offices (DHO) and Ministry of Health (MoH) for monitoring the health status of the population, provision of services as to the coverage and utility, drugs stocks and consumption patterns, equipment status and availability of finances on a regular basis. Accurate reports are those that have certain levels of precision or detail where as reliable reports are those which one can trust and the evidence is data that is relevant. Complete reports are those that have all elements required available. Consistent reports are those that are comparable in redundant/distributed databases, hence a good measure of reliability. Up-to-date reports are those that conform to timeliness which enables data use. These are the properties of data quality, hence these characteristics are required for reports to be deemed fit and appropriate for their intended use.

The HMIS in Malawi lacks quality data and this is compounded by inadequate use of available information in planning and management of health services. In 1999, Malawi began strengthening the health management information system with analysis of strengths and weaknesses of existing information systems and sharing findings with all stakeholders. They identified a need for reformation of various vertical programme specific information systems into a comprehensive, integrated, decentralized, and action oriented simple system. The first step was conceptualization to a digital form, from the old paper system which was not easy to use and produce reports. In 2002, the new Health Management Information System was adopted for use nationwide. Despite the system now being in place for over 10 years, the system still has data and information challenges which can be attributed to a number of factors.
Unfortunately, HMIS is not versatile enough to quickly adapt to the Health Sector Strategic Plan (HSSP) and Essential Health Package (EHP) needs. HMIS should be aligned to suit the Health Sector Strategic Plan and Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) means that the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) and now the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and their indicators must be closely considered. The changing international indicators through time may also affect the support of international donors (NGOs) and multilateral donors, who may not support or withdraw support from the sector as the impact of their interventions cannot be measured because accurate and reliable reports cannot be produced. Though Malawi viewed itself as having the best HMIS in Africa despite the challenges that it faced during implementation, not much has been done to improve the system. In the absence of recent review, it is not clear whether the system is improving. This study therefore aimed at identifying the current challenges faced during implementation of HMIS, assessing the data usage by policy makers, completeness of data and adequacy of indicators in HMIS.

Methods

Research design

A cross-sectional survey which collected qualitative and quantitative data from HMIS officers and district data users was done. The study was carried out from March to April 2012 in the Southern region of Malawi. Data on the qualification of data custodians at district health offices, length of service, sources of data, perceived accuracy and reliability of the data, reports from the system, challenges faced during implementation of HMIS, objectives of the system as interpreted by HMIS officers, and suggestions on how to improve the data collection process and the system in order to get better output was collected.

Population and sampling

Of the 13 districts in the Southern region, 10 districts were conveniently sampled to participate in the study. Resources and logistical challenges excluded three districts. Therefore, data was collected from 10 HMIS officers and 10 district data users (District Environmental Health Officers (DEHO) (n=7); programme coordinators (n=3)). The HMIS officers and data users were sampled from Blantyre, Mulanje, Chikhwawa, Chiradzulu, Mwanza, Thyolo, Balaka, Zomba, Phalombe and Machinga districts.
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Figure 2: Map of the Southern region of Malawi outlining the participating districts.
Data collection and analysis

A combination of face-to-face interviews using a semi-structured questionnaire and self-administered questionnaires were used to collect data from HMIS officers and district data users using pre-tested tools. HMIS officers were targeted with face-to-face interviews while data users were given self-administered questionnaires. Copies of HMIS data collection tools were acquired from respondents and responses to interview questions were summarized. Themes were derived from information within interview transcripts and questionnaire data. Frequency tables were developed for the sources of data and challenges met by these sources of data as perceived by HMIS officer and district data users. Data collectors’ reliability was rated by HMIS officers on a scale of one to ten, with one being unreliable and ten being very reliable. The overall reliability was arrived at by calculating the average.

Results

Data management

The study found that data for the HMIS was generated by a number of data collectors as presented in Figure 2.

![Figure 3: Data flow process as described by HMIS Officers.](image)

Key:
- - - Theoretical data flow
- - - Actual data flow

HSA = Health Surveillance Assistant
SHSA= Senior Health Surveillance Assistant
HMIS= Health Management Information System

HMIS respondents indicated that the primary data collectors are HSAs, midwives/nurses and medical assistants. Table 1 shows the responses obtained when the HMIS officers were asked to outline the sources of data for the system.

Table 1: Data collectors as mentioned by the HMIS officers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data collector</th>
<th>Number of HMIS officers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Health Surveillance Assistance</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statistical Clerks / Ward Clerks</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Assistants / Clinical Officers</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurses / Midwives</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safe Motherhood Coordinator</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The challenges faced by the HMIS in terms of data management were outlined as:

- The registers used to collect data by health workers (HSAs), have more indicators than those contained in the standard HMIS form (Form 15) used by statistical clerks. As such, the data transferred for submission to HMIS office is less than the generated data being captured in the system.
- HMIS officers indicated that discrepancies exist in the data, as different data collectors generate data using different tools. This was verified by examining and comparing the data collection tools for HSAs, health facility personnel, and programme coordinators, which were found to define indicators differently. This led to differences in interpretation of the indicators by the different personnel.
- The other cause of discrepancies is the inability of the system to consolidate data from the different data collectors to eliminate duplication, reduce data loss and handle referrals. This was reported as a common problem, for example records of the same patient submitted by an HSA and health facility personnel may be duplicated in HMIS as two different patients.
- Untimely submission of reports, inconsistency of data and misplaced or fabricated data, are discrepancies which are usually encountered when compiling monthly reports to quarterly reports. This untimely submission can be attributed to various factors. For example, health facilities in remote rural areas, find it difficult for data collectors such as HSAs to send their data to senior HSAs to compile and subsequently send their data to the HMIS office in a timely manner due to logistical constraints. Inadequate resources in terms of transport to collect data from the field to the health facility and eventually to the district health office also contributes to the delays in compiling of data in the system.
- Power failure and faulty computers at district level which take long to be repaired by the Ministry.
- Currently, districts are creating their own databases to collate data as such they are not harmonized. This can lead to discrepancies. One HMIS officer stated “databases are different between the districts and they need to be harmonized”.

Table 2: Challenges faced by data collectors as perceived by HMIS officer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Challenge</th>
<th>HSAs</th>
<th>Statistical Clerks</th>
<th>Medical Assistants</th>
<th>Nurses</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inadequate resources</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Transportation, Registers and Health Passports)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge gaps (for HSAs and Statistical Clerks)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inadequacy of staff</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(HSA, statistical clerks, Clinical officers and Nurses)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of training, refreshers and review meetings</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure of Work</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Some HMIS officers mentioned these challenges for more than one data collector.
• Inadequate personnel at health facilities leads to a number of pressures on few people, including the collation of accurate HMIS data. Due to a lack of statistical clerks at health facilities, the responsibility for data collection often lies with clinical staff such as nurses and medical assistants. As they are already overburdened with large numbers of patients they must attend to, HMIS work can be seen as secondary to their curative duties.

• HMIS officers further stated that there is usually a delay in data acquisition from the different vertical programmes (i.e. Safe Motherhood Programme), which provides a challenge in production of reports. In terms of safe motherhood, HMIS officers also indicated a concern that figures may be inflated for purposes of receiving more medication to a facility thereby affecting the planning system and resource management.

Other issues leading to challenges with HMIS objectives were related to human capacity within the system and included:

• Lack of training, refreshers and review meetings was mentioned 14 times by different HMIS officers for the different data collectors. The need for refresher courses was evidenced in their comprehension of indicators and hence differences in understanding of indicators. This deficiency leads to other challenges such as inconsistent data and data gaps, which are a result of knowledge gaps among the data collectors.

• For HSAs, statistical clerks and ward clerks, lack of training is a problem. Therefore, they find difficulties in using the data collection tools. HMIS officers suggested that this could be due to their level of education hence the need for training supplemented by constant supervision to develop competency.

**Human capacity within HMIS**

**Qualification of the HMIS Officers**

The Ministry of Health (MoH) states that the minimum qualification for an HMIS officer is either a Bachelor of Science in Information Technology (IT), Information Systems (IS) or Computer Science (CS). However, the qualifications of these HMIS officers ranged from Malawi School Certificate of Education to a postgraduate diploma in statistics. Table 3 shows the qualifications of the HMIS Officers at the time of interview.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Qualification</th>
<th>Number Qualified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MSc in Biostatistics</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSc. in IT, IS or CS</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diploma in Information Systems Management</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diploma in Statistics</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced Certificate in Statistics</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certificate in Statistics</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malawi School Certificate of Education</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Eight HMIS officers interviewed were qualified statisticians with minimum of a certificate in statistics while two were found to only have a Malawi School Certificate of Education with no specific statistical training. Competency through experience was not assessed in this study. Overall, nine of the HMIS officers did not meet the MoH minimum requirements of having a Bachelor’s degree, however those who responded had an average of about five years’ experience on the position of HMIS officer.

**Understanding of the HMIS objectives by HMIS Officers**

The objectives of the system are to collect, compile, analyze data and disseminate information and ensure that the data is complete and consistent. The system analyses the data to check health indicators and production of reports at appropriate times to enable decision makers or managers to plan accordingly. This further enables monitoring and evaluation of the health systems’ progress. All HMIS officers were able to state the objectives of HMIS (Box 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Box 1 – The objective of HMIS as stated by the HMIS Officers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“to analyze and check health indicators”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“to collecting data, processing data and analysis of data to check health indicators”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“to ensure completeness, consistency and timely reporting”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“for decision making – monitoring and evaluation”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Collection, compilation, analysis and dissemination of health data for planning purposes”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The HMIS officers stated that HMIS was on average achieving 88% of its objectives (Mean assessment for all HSAs). The reasons HMIS was not achieving 100% were delays in receiving data, corrupted files and software, lack of training, and lack of interaction between departments. For example, HMIS indicated poor data sharing between their office and the Safe Motherhood Programme. It was felt that programme planning and decisions were made without reference to HMIS data, as they had collated their own statistics, and data collected by the two sections was also reported as inconsistent.

**Data use**

**Data use according to HMIS officers**

HMIS officers indicated that data should be used for a number of purposes including registration of patients in terms of visits/admissions which enables district data users to determine the use and potential loads at different health facilities. All HMIS officers stated that data provides information which should help decision makers plan different activities. Furthermore, the information helps to check indicators by production of reports in the form of graphs to different programme coordinators i.e. the Community-Based Maternal and Neonatal Health Coordinator and Safe Motherhood Coordinator. However, it was mentioned that data from the system is not fully utilized. Consequently, HMIS is not used to its full potential. As such, programme planning and human resource/drug distribution did not fully utilize HMIS data in decision making. “As a result some departments overestimate figures for drugs required based on their own estimates”, stated an HMIS officer.

HMIS officers were asked to give their interpretation of data collector’s reliability. Reliability is referred to as the “the extent to which we can rely on the source of data and therefore the data itself”. Reliability was measured on a scale of one to ten (1=not reliable, 10=very reliable) and an average reliability was calculated for every cadre of data collector. (Table 4)
Some respondents stated that the indicators are not adequate in HMIS (ICEIDA/DHO), public health programme documents, immunization plans, development of the Icelandic plans to guide partners in the district on program/project plans, district annual implementation plans, departmental quarterly. These include: creation of district health strategic being made based on HMIS data report which is circulated data users claimed that various plans and decisions were: prevalence. Despite the data being inadequate, two district data users felt them to monitor trends on health service delivery and disease specific programmes. However, district data users felt this type of data is very useful for general monitoring of service delivery, policy development and high level planning. Four out of ten said as district data users, information was adequate for their specific programmes because it allows them to monitor trends on health service delivery and disease prevalence. Despite the data being inadequate, two district data users claimed that various plans and decisions were being made based on HMIS data report which is circulated quarterly. These include: creation of district health strategic plans, district annual implementation plans, departmental plans to guide partners in the district on program/project planning, immunization plans, development of the Icelandic International Development Agency/ District Health Office (ICEIDA/DHO), public health programme document and disease outbreak response.

### Adequacy of indicators in HMIS

Some respondents stated that the indicators are not exhaustive for all activities. Each health program requires a certain number of indicators for monitoring and evaluation hence need for specific program data collection. For example, in Water, Sanitation and Hygiene (WASH), HMIS only captures households with an improved sanitary facility. This one indicator cannot be used to fully monitor a WASH program. There is need to revise HMIS indicators to include some essential elements which are currently missing. Though it was noted that HMIS is intended to provide general integrated health data covering a wide range of health problems/conditions and health services, some important indicators need to be considered for inclusion, e.g., HIV and AIDS indicators.

### Discussion

There were multiple data collectors getting information at different levels and using different registers and forms which fed into the same system thereby increasing discrepancies. Some vertical programmes still feed data into the HMIS despite the Ministry of Health (MoH) agreement in 1999 with all stakeholders on the need for the reformation of various vertical programme specific information systems into the current integrated HMIS. Furthermore, the verification of results at facility level from the multiple collectors was not being done due to human resource constraints where the position of assistant statistician at each facility who authenticates the results before being forwarded to the next level was not filled in most facilities. The MoH recommended quarterly verification of individual records for completeness and accuracy. The discrepancies in data collected were as a result of the systems’ failure to consolidate data from different data collectors in order to eliminate data duplication and loss. Discrepancies in data collected and reported were also due to the current practice of districts creating their own databases.

The MoH recognizes that the quality of data that are collected by facilities is poor as it is incomplete and questionable in terms of reliability for programme planning. However, the HMIS data from statistical clerks and nurses/midwives was perceived as being more reliable than from the HSAs. This finding is similar to earlier observations by other HMIS surveys conducted in the country. The discrepancies in data collected were as a result of the systems’ failure to consolidate data from different data collectors in order to eliminate data duplication and loss. Discrepancies in data collected and reported were also due to the current practice of districts creating their own databases.

There were multiple data collectors getting information at different levels and using different registers and forms which fed into the same system thereby increasing inaccuracies. Some vertical programmes still feed data into the HMIS despite the Ministry of Health (MoH) agreement in 1999 with all stakeholders on the need for the reformation of various vertical programme specific information systems into the current integrated HMIS. Furthermore, the verification of results at facility level from the multiple collectors was not being done due to human resource constraints where the position of assistant statistician at each facility who authenticates the results before being forwarded to the next level was not filled in most facilities. The MoH recommended quarterly verification of individual records for completeness and accuracy. The discrepancies in data collected were as a result of the systems’ failure to consolidate data from different data collectors in order to eliminate data duplication and loss. Discrepancies in data collected and reported were also due to the current practice of districts creating their own databases.

The MoH recognizes that the quality of data that are collected by facilities is poor as it is incomplete and questionable in terms of reliability for programme planning. However, the HMIS data from statistical clerks and nurses/midwives was perceived as being more reliable than from the HSAs. This finding is similar to earlier observations by other HMIS surveys conducted in the country. The discrepancies in data collected were as a result of the systems’ failure to consolidate data from different data collectors in order to eliminate data duplication and loss. Discrepancies in data collected and reported were also due to the current practice of districts creating their own databases.

There were multiple data collectors getting information at different levels and using different registers and forms which fed into the same system thereby increasing inaccuracies. Some vertical programmes still feed data into the HMIS despite the Ministry of Health (MoH) agreement in 1999 with all stakeholders on the need for the reformation of various vertical programme specific information systems into the current integrated HMIS. Furthermore, the verification of results at facility level from the multiple collectors was not being done due to human resource constraints where the position of assistant statistician at each facility who authenticates the results before being forwarded to the next level was not filled in most facilities. The MoH recommended quarterly verification of individual records for completeness and accuracy. The discrepancies in data collected were as a result of the systems’ failure to consolidate data from different data collectors in order to eliminate data duplication and loss. Discrepancies in data collected and reported were also due to the current practice of districts creating their own databases.

The MoH recognizes that the quality of data that are collected by facilities is poor as it is incomplete and questionable in terms of reliability for programme planning. However, the HMIS data from statistical clerks and nurses/midwives was perceived as being more reliable than from the HSAs. This finding is similar to earlier observations by other HMIS surveys conducted in the country. The discrepancies in data collected were as a result of the systems’ failure to consolidate data from different data collectors in order to eliminate data duplication and loss. Discrepancies in data collected and reported were also due to the current practice of districts creating their own databases.

The MoH recognizes that the quality of data that are collected by facilities is poor as it is incomplete and questionable in terms of reliability for programme planning. However, the HMIS data from statistical clerks and nurses/midwives was perceived as being more reliable than from the HSAs. This finding is similar to earlier observations by other HMIS surveys conducted in the country. The discrepancies in data collected were as a result of the systems’ failure to consolidate data from different data collectors in order to eliminate data duplication and loss. Discrepancies in data collected and reported were also due to the current practice of districts creating their own databases.

### adequacy of Data for making plans and decisions as district data users

According to three district data users, the data from HMIS is not comprehensive or adequate for effective planning and decision-making due to the limited number of indicators for their specific programmes. However, district data users felt this type of data is very useful for general monitoring of service delivery, policy development and high level planning. Four out of ten said as district data users, information was adequate for their specific programmes because it allows them to monitor trends on health service delivery and disease prevalence. Despite the data being inadequate, two district data users claimed that various plans and decisions were being made based on HMIS data report which is circulated quarterly. These include: creation of district health strategic plans, district annual implementation plans, departmental plans to guide partners in the district on program/project planning, immunization plans, development of the Icelandic International Development Agency/ District Health Office (ICEIDA/DHO), public health programme document and disease outbreak response.

### Table 4: HMIS officers’ perceptions of data collectors’ reliability (n=10)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Collector</th>
<th>Estimated Average Data Reliability (std dev=0.7155, Difference Significance: P-Value = 0.9934)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nurses / Midwives</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statistical Clerks / Ward Clerks</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Surveillance Assistance</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Assistants / Clinical Officers</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safe Motherhood Coordinator</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The MoH recognizes that the quality of data that are collected by facilities is poor as it is incomplete and questionable in terms of reliability for programme planning. However, the HMIS data from statistical clerks and nurses/midwives was perceived as being more reliable than from the HSAs. This finding is similar to earlier observations by other HMIS surveys conducted in the country. The discrepancies in data collected were as a result of the systems’ failure to consolidate data from different data collectors in order to eliminate data duplication and loss. Discrepancies in data collected and reported were also due to the current practice of districts creating their own databases.
The survey revealed that the system is characterized by untimely submission of reports from facility to district level due to a variety of factors such as remoteness of the facilities and logistical constraints (e.g. transport to collect data from the field). At district level, there are problems of power failure and faulty computers to produce quarterly reports. The Ministry of Health acknowledged the untimely data reporting and lack of support for ICT equipment maintenance at district level. However, this study did not ask how long it takes after data has been collected to compile the report at district and lower levels.

In 2009, the MoH identified a huge deficit in human resources (in terms of quality and quantity) especially at district and facility levels. This study found that none of the existing HMIS officers in the districts met the minimum qualification for this position. This explains the limited data analysis and use of information in the management of health services at facility and district levels.

Most data users agreed that data quality is questionable. Some data users stated that data was accurate because HMIS data was collected at point of service delivery and there was a system in place where health facility management teams collect data direct from the registers and compile the data in prepared collection HMIS forms. However, other users argued that it was not accurate because of differences from data collected through other parallel systems from same source e.g. disease surveillance data and routine immunization data.

Conclusions

The Ministry of Health has been implementing a comprehensive and decentralised routine HMIS countrywide since 2002. However, 12 years later, the HMIS is faced with a number of problems which range from use of different tools for data collection, missing data, untimely reporting, human resource constraints, and poor ICT infrastructure at district level. Data management is poor in terms of accuracy, completeness, consistency and timeliness making the HMIS unreliable for effective programme planning and decision-making. There is need for the review of HMIS indicators and harmonization of data collection tools feeding into the HMIS to reduce data inconsistencies.

Recommendations

More HSAs, clinical staff and statistical clerks need to be trained in HMIS to ensure accurate data capturing and timely reporting. Forms and registers should always be available to HSAs and medical personnel to avoid data gaps. The current forms need to be reviewed to address indicators for emerging and non-communicable diseases. The Ministry of Health needs to employ HMIS officers with bachelor’s degrees as stated in the job qualification requirements. We recommend further research on the extent of use of HMIS data for planning by district and health centre Managers. We suggest that Ministry of Health should consider employing HMIS officers with suitable qualification as stated under the job requirements, or upgrading those in place, and quarterly refresher courses should be organized to increase the competence of staff involved in data management at all levels.
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